arXiv:1612.08643v1 [math.DS] 27 Dec 2016

POST-CRITICALLY MINIMAL NEWTON MAPS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS AND PARABOLIC SURGERY

KHUDOYOR MAMAYUSUPOV

Abstract. The paper deals with all rational Newton maps of entire functions and a surgery tool developed by P. Haïssinsky. *"Post-critically minimal"* Newton maps with parabolic fixed point are introduced, analogous to the notion of post-critically finite Newton maps of polynomials. For rational Newton maps the dynamical description of components of the Fatou set and accesses to infinity within immediate basins are studied. This paper serves as a foundation to a classification of all "Post-critically minimal" rational Newton maps of entire functions.

1. Introduction

Newton map of an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $N_f(z) := z - \frac{f(z)}{f'(z)}$. Let p and q be polynomials and e be the exponential function, then Newton map of pe^q is $z - \frac{p(z)}{p'(z)+p(z)q'(z)}$, which is a rational function, since the exponential terms e^q cancel. We call these functions (rational) Newton maps [1]. Finite fixed points of Newton maps are attracting, the roots of a polynomial p, and a point at ∞ is parabolic when $\deg q > 0$. The main goal of this paper is to lay a foundation to a theory of Newton maps: all rational Newton maps for entire functions, by presenting results that are common for both Newton maps of polynomials and rational Newton maps of entire functions. Our first result is given in Theorem A, which is a structural study of rational Newton maps on their basins of attractions, including basins of ∞. This is analogous

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D05, 37F10.

Key words and phrases. Newton's method, basin of attraction, postcritically minimal, access.

Research was partially supported by the ERC advanced grant "HOLOGRAM", the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft SCHL 670/4 and by the grant 346300 for IMPAN from the Simons Foundation and the matching 2015-2019 Polish MNiSW fund.

[1] In the literature the notions Newton map and Newton's method are used interchangeably. In this paper, we only consider Newton maps of pe^q, where p and q are polynomials.

of a result from [HSS01, Proposition 6], which is for Newton maps of polynomials.

A rational function is called post-critically finite if critical orbits are finite. Every Fatou critical point of a post-critically finite rational function eventually terminates at the superattracting periodic points. Now we introduce the notion of *"post-critical minimality"* for *rational Newton maps* with a parabolic fixed point at ∞, see Definition 2.6 for a more formal definition. A Newton map is called post-critically minimal (PCM): if

- its critical orbits on the Julia set and in attracting Fatou domains are finite;
- for critical points in a basin of ∞, there exist minimal critical orbit relations: in every immediate basin of ∞ there exists a single (possibly with higher multiplicity) critical point, and all other critical points in a basin of ∞ will land in *minimal iterate* to a critical point in one of immediate basins of ∞.

There exist no other types of Fatou components for PCM Newton maps other than superattracting periodic basins and basins of ∞. The post-critical minimality condition is automatically satisfied for *post-critically finite* Newton maps of polynomials. For rational functions with parabolic fixed point, if a critical point is captured by some other critical point in one of immediate basins of parabolic fixed point, then the orbit may take some positive number of iterates after visiting the immediate basin, before landing at the critical point. The latter behavior is not allowed for post-critically minimal rational functions.

As in the case of post-critically finite rational functions, post-critically minimal Newton maps also have normal forms; on an attracting Fatou component the dynamics is conjugate to power maps $z \mapsto z^k$, and in a parabolic Fatou component the dynamics is conjugate to a normal form, the parabolic Blaschke product, $\frac{z^k+a}{1+az^k}$ with $a = \frac{k-1}{k+1}$, where k is the local degree of a function in the corresponding Fatou component.

In a parameter space of degree $d \geq 3$ Newton maps of polynomials (parametrized by locations of roots of polynomials) the connected components of hyperbolic functions are called hyperbolic components. Every bounded hyperbolic component contains a unique "center", which is known to be a post-critically finite function [Mil12]. Let degrees $\deg(p) = d - n \geq 0$ and $\deg(g) = n \geq 1$ be given. Similarly, we define *stable* components of a parameter plane of rational Newton maps of the form $\mathrm{id} - \frac{p}{p'+pq'}$, parametrized by coefficients of p and q, to be the connected components consisting of functions whose all critical points belong either to attracting basins or parabolic basin of ∞.

For this family of functions the point at ∞ is persistently parabolic with multiplier $+1$, thus these stable functions form an open set in the parameter plane. Similar to hyperbolic components, one can observe, by using a suitable surgery developed by C. McMullen [McM86], that every function within a bounded stable component can be quasiconformally perturbed to the "center" one, which is *post-critically minimal* Newton map. This process keeps the dynamics unchanged on Julia sets. Not every post-critically minimal rational Newton map is a "center", likewise not every post-critically finite Newton map of polynomial is a "center" in the corresponding parameter space.

In [Ha98], P. Haïssinsky developed a surgery tool to turn an attracting domain of a polynomial to a parabolic domain of other polynomial. This procedure is referred to "parabolic surgery". More precisely, it takes a polynomial with an attracting fixed point and an accessible repelling fixed point on the boundary of the immediate basin of attraction and by sophisticated techniques changes this domain to a parabolic one; the repelling fixed point becomes a parabolic fixed point for the new polynomial. One can apply this technique to rational functions too. For a Newton map of polynomial the basins of attraction of fixed points have a common boundary point at ∞, parabolic surgery can be applied to make it a parabolic fixed point, see Theorem C. The surgery process results to a rational function which is again a Newton map of, this time, *entire function*. This operation defines a map from the space of Newton maps of *polynomials* to the space of rational Newton maps of *entire functions*.

In [Ma], we give a one-to-one correspondence between the space of post-critically minimal Newton maps and the space of post-critically finite Newton maps of polynomials. This correspondence comes from applications of parabolic surgery to the latter class of Newton maps. This finishes classification of all rational Newton maps by complementing results of [LMS1, LMS2], which give a classification of Newton maps of polynomials.

This class of rational Newton maps ($\deg g \geq 1$) was studied very little in the literature; in [Har99], in a pioneering work, M. Haruta investigated these functions and showed that the area of every immediate basin of an attracting fixed point is finite if $\deg q \geq 3$. This is in contrast to the corresponding result for Newton maps of polynomials where the area of every immediate attracting basin is infinite. The papers [Cil04, CJ11] showed that in certain cases the area is infinite even when $\deg q \in \{1, 2\}$.

Overview of the paper. In Section 2, we review dynamical properties of Newton maps and prove Theorem A on number of accesses to ∞.

Structure of post-critically minimal Newton maps on each components of the Fatou set is provided in Theorem B. In the last section, Section 3, we state Haïssinsky theorem (parabolic surgery) for polynomials and sketch its proof in Theorem C which is for Newton maps of polynomials.

Notations. For a rational function f, denote by $F(f)$ and $J(f)$ the Fatou and the Julia set respectively.

For a polynomial p, denote by $K(p)$ the filled Julia set of p.

Denote the local degree of a function f at a point z by $\deg(f,z)$.

Set $C_f = \{\text{critical points of } f\} = \{z | \deg(f,z) > 1\}$ and $P_f = \overline{\bigcup_{n\geq 1} f^n(C_f)}$. The set P_f is called the post-critical set of f.

The function f is called *post-critically finite* (PCF) if P_f is finite.

The function f is called *geometrically finite* if the intersection $P_f \cap J(f)$ is a finite set.

2. Dynamical properties of rational Newton maps

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function (polynomial or transcendental entire function). A meromorphic function given by $N_f(z) := z - \frac{f(z)}{f'(z)}$ is called the Newton map of $f(z)$. The following theorem describes a Newton map in terms of its fixed point multipliers.

Theorem 2.1. [RS07] *Let $N : \mathbb{C} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a meromorphic function. It is the Newton map of an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ if and only if for each fixed point ξ, $N(\xi) = \xi$, there is a natural number $m = m_\xi \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N'(\xi) = (m-1)/m$. In this case there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ such that $f = ce^{\int \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - N(\zeta)}}$. Two entire functions f, g have the same Newton map if and only if $f = c \cdot g$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$.*

From [RS07], it is known that for an entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ its Newton map N_f is a rational function if and only if there are polynomials p and q such that f has the form $f = pe^q$.

It is natural to consider the following object when we deal with Newton maps.

Definition 2.2 (Basin)**.** Let ξ be an attracting or parabolic fixed point of f. The *basin* $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ of ξ is

$$\text{int}\{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} : \lim_{n\to\infty} f^{\circ n}(z) = \xi\},$$

the interior of the set of starting points z which eventually converge to ξ under iteration. The *immediate basin* $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi)$ of ξ is the forward invariant connected component of the basin. For a parabolic fixed point there could be more than one immediate basin.

If the fixed point is attracting then in the above definition we do not need to take the interior of the set since it is always an open set, which contains its attracting fixed point with some neighborhood. We only need the interior in the definition when we consider a basin of a parabolic fixed point, since a point itself does not belong to its basin but is located on the boundary of its immediate basin. For a rational Newton map the basin of a parabolic fixed point at ∞ can be understood as a virtual basin (see [MS06] and [RS07] for the definition of a virtual basin for meromorphic Newton maps).

A basin of an attracting or a parabolic periodic point is defined similarly.



FIGURE 1. The Julia set of the Newton map of degree 12. Yellow is the basin of parabolic fixed point at ∞ with 5 petals, thick white dots with black circle boundary are fixed points, white dots are critical points.

The immediate basin of a fixed point of a rational Newton map is simply connected and unbounded [MS06, Prz89]. M. Shishikura proved that not only *immediate* basins are simply connected but *all* components of the Fatou set are simply connected for every rational function with a single weakly repelling fixed point [Shi09]. As a corollary of Shishikura's result we obtain that the *Julia set* for a rational *Newton map* is *connected*. Recently, K. Barański, N. Fagella, X. Jarque, and B. Karpińska generalized Shishikura's theorem to the setting of meromorphic Newton maps proving that the Julia set is connected for all Newton maps of entire functions [BFJK].

The next is an important feature of Newton maps.

Definition 2.3 (Access to ∞)**.** Let $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ be the immediate basin of the fixed point $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ or the parabolic fixed point at ∞ for a rational Newton map f. Consider a curve $\Gamma : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{A}^\circ$ with $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Gamma(t) = \infty$ (for attracting basins we further assume that $\Gamma(0) = \xi$, so both ends are fixed under the Newton map f). Its homotopy class within $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ defines an *access to* ∞ for $\mathcal{A}^\circ$.

Put it differently, curves Γ and Γ' belong to the same homotopy class if there is a homotopy between them in $\mathcal{A}^\circ$, the homotopy fixes one endpoint at ∞, the other endpoints of curves belong to $\mathcal{A}^\circ$. In the case of attracting immediate basin we may assume that the other end points of both curves are also fixed by the homotopy and the Newton map.

Remark. For parabolic immediate basins we can always choose any base point $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}^\circ(\infty)$ so that when we consider a homotopy class of curves $\Gamma : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{A}^\circ(\infty)$ with $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Gamma(t) = \infty$ then we can further assume that $\Gamma(0) = x_0$: homotopy fixes x_0.

Every fixed point of a rational Newton map has one or several accesses to infinity.

Theorem A (Accesses to ∞)**.** *Let N_{pe^q} be a (rational) Newton map of degree $d \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ be an immediate basin of a fixed point ξ of N_{pe^q} (an attracting or a parabolic fixed point at ∞). Assume that $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ contains k critical points of N_{pe^q} (counting multiplicities), then the restriction $N_{pe^q}|_{\mathcal{A}^\circ}$ is a branched covering map of degree $k+1$, and $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ has exactly k distinct accesses to ∞. In the case of a parabolic immediate basin of ∞, there exists an access, which is dynamically attracted towards ∞, and all others repel from ∞. This* "attracting" *access is forward invariant, while* "repelling" *accesses are backwards invariant under N_{pe^q}.*

Proof. Let N_{pe^q} a rational Newton map and $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ its immediate basin be given. There are two cases: the case of basin of attracting fixed point

and the case of basin of a parabolic fixed point at ∞. The first case for Newton maps of polynomials is given in [HSS01, Proposition 6]. Since their proof arguments use only local dynamics of the function within the basin, the result is also true for (attracting immediate basins of) all rational Newton maps.

Thus, it is enough to prove the theorem for the second case: for parabolic immediate basins of ∞. Following [HSS01], let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the unit disk and $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ be one of the immediate basins of ∞ with its Riemann map $\psi : \mathcal{A}^\circ \to \mathbb{D}$ uniquely determined with $\psi(c) = 0$ and $\psi'(c) > 0$, where c is any point in $\mathcal{A}^\circ$. Then the composition map $f = \psi \circ N_{pe^q} \circ \psi^{-1}$ is a proper map of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ with the degree, which is equal to the degree of the restriction $N_{pe^q}|_{\mathcal{A}^\circ}$. The critical points of N_{pe^q} in $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ are mapped to the critical points of f in $\mathbb{D}$ preserving multiplicities. Assume that N_{pe^q} has k critical points in $\mathcal{A}^\circ$. The Julia set is connected implying that $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ is simply connected, by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula the degree of the restriction $N_{pe^q}|_{\mathcal{A}^\circ}$ is $k + 1$.

The map f, as any other proper self map of $\mathbb{D}$, is a Blaschke product, thus has an extension to $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, denote the extension again by f. Both f and the restriction $N_{pe^q}|_{\mathcal{A}^\circ}$ have the same degree. Then f has $k+2$ fixed points, one of which is a double parabolic, since we have a parabolic dynamics in $\mathbb{D}$, and the other $k-1$ fixed points are simple and repelling with real multipliers, and all are located on the unit circle. The unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ and $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ are invariant by f. The map f can not have critical point on $\mathbb{S}^1$, therefore f is an unbranched covering map from $\mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ of degree $k + 1$, and orbit of every $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{S}^1$ converges to the unique parabolic fixed point on $\mathbb{S}^1$. Thus the Julia set is the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1$. The linearizing coordinates of $k-1$ repelling fixed points define $k - 1$ accesses among k accesses, the last access comes from a Fatou coordinate of the parabolic fixed point on $\mathbb{S}^1$. We call the access associated to the parabolic fixed point *"attracting"* and all other $k - 1$ accesses (if there is any) *"repelling"*.

Assume that the boundary of $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ is locally connected, which is true e.g. when a Newton map is geometrically finite. Carathéodory's theorem assures that the inverse map to $\psi : \mathcal{A}^\circ \to \mathbb{D}$ extends to the closed unit disk as a continuous map. Denote the extension again by ψ^{-1}. By continuity, we obtain $\psi^{-1} \circ f = N_{pe^q} \circ \psi^{-1}$ on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. All of the $k + 1$ fixed points of f correspond to $k + 1$ fixed points of N_{pe^q} on $\partial\mathcal{A}^\circ$. A fixed point of N_{pe^q} that is on the boundary of an immediate basin is the only parabolic fixed point at ∞, so the domain $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ has accesses to ∞ through $k - 1$ distinct directions.

In the case when $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ is not locally connected, so that the inverse to the Riemann map does not extend continuously to the closed unit disk, the statement still holds true. Consider a Koenigs coordinate of a repelling fixed point ξ_j that conjugates f locally near the point ξ_j to the linear map $z \mapsto f'(\xi_j)z$, we take a segment of a straight-line through the origin, which is invariant. We take an invariant curve in the petal associated to the parabolic fixed point of f. Let γ be the preimage of this curve that lands at ξ_j in the dynamical plane of f. Then we have $\gamma \subset f(\gamma)$. Now we pull the curve γ by the Riemann map ψ to $\mathcal{A}^\circ$. The accumulation set of $\psi^{-1}(\gamma)$ in $\partial\mathcal{A}^\circ$ is connected [Mil06, Section 17] and since γ is invariant we conclude that the accumulation set is pointwise fixed by N_{pe^q}. But ∞ is the only fixed point on the Julia set. This gives us k accesses of $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ to ∞. We need to show that they are all different and the only ones.

It is clear that simple curves within $\mathbb{D}$ converging to a given fixed point of f are homotopic so that every fixed point of f defines a unique access in $\mathcal{A}^\circ$. Different fixed points of f lead to non-homotopic curves in $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ and thus to different accesses. Indeed, let l_i, $l_j \subset \mathbb{D}$ be the radial lines converging to $\xi_i \neq \xi_j$ respectively, parametrized by the radius. Assume by contrary that $\psi^{-1}(l_i)$ and $\psi^{-1}(l_j)$ are homotopic curves in $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ by a homotopy fixing end points; $\psi^{-1}(l_i(1)) = \psi^{-1}(l_j(1)) = \infty$, then one of the components bounded by a simple closed curve $\psi^{-1}(l_i) \cup \psi^{-1}(l_j)$ must be contained in $\mathcal{A}^\circ$. Call this component V; then $\psi(V)$ must be one of the sectors bounded by l_i and l_j; call it S. Both V and S are Jordan domains, so ψ^{-1} extends as a homeomorphism from $\bar{S}$ onto $\bar{V}$, by Carathéodory theorem; but then the extension sends the set $\mathbb{S}^1 \cap S$ nowhere.

Conversely, we show that every access to ∞ in $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ comes from a fixed point of f. Let $\Gamma : [0,1] \to \mathcal{A}^\circ \cup \infty$ be a curve representing an access. Then $\psi(\Gamma)$ is a corresponding curve in $\mathbb{D}$, which lands at a point $\upsilon \in \mathbb{S}^1$ by [Mil06, Corollary 17.10], define the landing point υ as the associated point of Γ. Then for every $k \geq 1$, $N_{pe^q}^{\circ k}(\Gamma)$ represents an access and thus has its associated point $\upsilon_k \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Since the Newton map N_{pe^q} has a parabolic fixed point at ∞, so it is locally a homeomorphism near ∞ and every fixed point of f gives rise to an access, all υ_k must be contained in the same connected component of $\mathbb{S}^1$ with the fixed points removed; this component is an interval, say I, on which $\{\upsilon_k\}$ must be a monotone sequence converging under f to a fixed point υ of f in $\bar{I}$, i.e. to one of the endpoints. If υ is one of the repelling fixed points of f then it is impossible. Assume υ is a parabolic fixed point of f then the sequence $\{\upsilon_k\} \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ converges tangentially to the parabolic

fixed point, which is also not possible since $\mathbb{S}^1$ is the Julia set of f and every orbit that converges to a parabolic fixed point must follow the attracting direction, which is not tangent to $\mathbb{S}^1$.

It is also clear that *"attracting"* accesses are forward invariant and the rest of accesses, both in attracting and parabolic basins, are backwards invariant under dynamics. □

Let the superattracting fixed points of a degree d post-critically finite Newton map N_p be denoted by a_i, and their immediate basins by $\mathcal{A}_i^\circ$ for $1 \geq i \geq d$. Let $\phi_i : (\mathcal{A}_i^\circ, a_i) \to (\mathbb{D}, 0)$ be a Riemann map (global Böttcher coordinate) with the property that $\phi_i(N_p(z)) = \phi_i^{k_i}$ for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $k_i - 1 \geq 1$ is the multiplicity of a_i as a critical point of N_p. The map $z \mapsto z^{k_i}$ fixes the $k_i - 1$ internal rays in $\mathbb{D}$. Under ϕ_i^{-1} these rays map to the $k_i - 1$ pairwise disjoint (except for endpoints) simple curves $\Gamma_i^1, \Gamma_i^2, \ldots, \Gamma_i^{k_i-1} \subset \mathcal{A}_i^\circ$ that connect a_i to ∞, are pairwise non-homotopic in $\mathcal{A}_i^\circ$ (with homotopies fixing the endpoints) and are invariant under N_p as sets. They represent all accesses to ∞ of $\mathcal{A}_i^\circ$.

Definition 2.4 (Channel Diagram Δ)**.** The union

$$\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_i-1} \overline{\Gamma_i^j}$$

is called the *channel diagram.* It forms a connected graph in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ that joins finite fixed points to ∞.

It follows from the definition that $N_p(\Delta) = \Delta$ as a set. The channel diagram records the mutual locations of the immediate basins of N_p.

Definition 2.5 (Marked Channel Diagram Δ_n^+)**.** Let a post-critically finite Newton map N_p with superattracting fixed points $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d$ and the channel diagram $\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_i-1} \overline{\Gamma_i^j}$ be given. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ let at most one fixed ray $\Gamma_i^{j^*}$ be marked in the immediate basin of a_i. If a fixed ray in the immediate basin of a_i is marked then the basin of a_i as called a *marked basin.* If $n \leq d$ rays are marked then the marked channel diagram is denoted by Δ_n^+.

A basin can be marked or unmarked. The marked channel diagram is a channel diagram Δ with marking, that is an extra information about which fixed rays are selected/marked.

Remark. In this paper channel diagram and marked channel diagrams are only defined for Newton maps of *polynomials.* We call a channel diagram *unmarked* channel diagram to distinguish it from marked channel diagram. Parabolic surgery transforms the marked access of

post-critically finite Newton map to an "attracting" access for post-critically minimal Newton map.

A *marking* defines a single access among all accesses within all *marked* immediate basins through which the surgery will be performed.

As post-critically finite rational functions are rigid the following type of rational Newton maps of entire functions play important rigid models in the space of Newton maps. This notion is a natural extension of post-critically finiteness and could be adapted in many other families of rational functions.

Definition 2.6 (Post-Critically Minimal Newton map)**.** A geometrically finite Newton map $f = N_{pe^q}$ is called post-critically minimal (PCM) if the followings hold:

a) all non-repelling periodic points are superattracting, except ∞ which is a parabolic fixed point with the multiplier $+1$;
b) all critical points in the immediate basin of a superattracting cycle are on the cycle;
c) every immediate basin of the parabolic fixed point at ∞ contains a single critical point (possibly with higher multiplicity);
d) if c is a critical point in a strictly pre-periodic Fatou component and m_c is the *minimal* number for which $f^{\circ m_c}(c)$ is in a periodic component U, then only two possibilities could happen;
   I. U is a superattracting immediate basin, then $f^{\circ m_c+k_c}(c)$ is a critical point, where k_c is the *minimal* number for which $f^{\circ k_c}(U)$ contains a critical point, equivalently the orbit of c is finite; or
   II. U is a parabolic immediate basin of ∞, then $f^{\circ m_c}(c)$ is a critical point in U. In this case U is f invariant.

   In both cases m_c is the pre-period of the component of the Fatou set containing c.

Before giving a characterization of PCM maps, let us prove the following technical lemma on the parabolic Blaschke product.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $E : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be a proper holomorphic function of degree $k \geq 2$. Assume that E has a critical point at $z = 0$ of (maximal) multiplicity $k - 1$, and has a double parabolic fixed point at $z = 1$ with the multiplier $+1$. Then $E(z) = \frac{z^k+a}{1+az^k}$ with $a = \frac{k-1}{k+1}$, its Julia set is the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1$.*

Proof. Indeed, let E be such a map, then E extends to the whole Riemann sphere as a Blaschke product. Denote $E(0) = a$, the critical value, and $M_a(z) = \frac{1-\bar{a}}{1-a}\frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}$, an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$, that fixes 1. Then

the map $M_a \circ E$ is a proper function of $\mathbb{D}$ such that $M_a(E(0)) = 0$ and 0 is the only critical point with the maximum multiplicity $k-1$. Then $M_a \circ E(z) = uz^k$ for some constant $|u| = 1$. From the fact that both M_a and E fix 1, we conclude that $u = 1$. Then we obtain

$$E(z) = \frac{z^k + a\frac{1-\bar{a}}{1-a}}{\frac{1-\bar{a}}{1-a} + \bar{a}z^k}.$$

By assumption $E(z)$ has a double parabolic fixed point at $z = 1$. This means that the fixed point equation $E(z) = z$ has a triple solution at $z = 1$. After simplification, the fixed point equation becomes the following

$$z^{k+1} - \frac{1}{\bar{a}}z^k + \frac{1-\bar{a}}{\bar{a}(1-a)}z - \frac{1-\bar{a}}{\bar{a}(1-a)} = 0.$$

Taking the second derivative of the left hand side of the latter, we obtain $(k+1)kz^{k-1} - k(k-1)\frac{1}{\bar{a}}z^{k-2}$. Requiring that $z = 1$ is its solution, deduce that $a = \frac{k-1}{k+1}$. Thus $E(z) = \dfrac{z^k + a}{1 + az^k}$ with $a = \frac{k-1}{k+1}$. The double parabolic fixed point condition makes the Julia set connected, the unit circle. □

Post-critically minimal Newton maps of entire functions are not far from post-critically finite Newton maps of polynomials.

Theorem B (Characterization of post-critically minimal Newton maps)**.** *Let f be a PCM Newton map and U be any component of the Fatou set of f and let $V = f(U)$. Then U contains a unique "center" ξ_U which is either a unique critical point or it maps to a point in a super-attracting cycle or it maps to a unique critical point in the parabolic immediate basin of ∞ in finite minimal number of iterations under f. Moreover, there exist Riemann maps $\psi_U : U \to \mathbb{D}$ and $\psi_V : V \to \mathbb{D}$ with $\psi_U(\xi_U) = 0$ and $\psi_V(\xi_V) = 0$ such that:*

(a) if U is an immediate basin of a parabolic fixed point at ∞ (in this case $V = U$), then the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{f} & U \\ {\scriptstyle \psi_U}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_U} \\ \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{P_k} & \mathbb{D}, \end{array}$$

where $P_k(z) := \frac{z^k+a}{1+az^k}$ with $a = \frac{k-1}{k+1}$, the parabolic Blaschke product, and $k-1$ is the multiplicity of the single critical point at ξ_U in U;

(b) in all other Fatou components (also including periodic ones), we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{f} & V \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_U} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_V} \\ \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z^k} & \mathbb{D}, \end{array}$$

where $k-1$ is the multiplicity of a single critical point in U, if there is no critical point of f in U then we let $k = 1$.

Post-critically finite Newton maps can not have a parabolic fixed point, thus the first diagram is the key difference between post-critically *finite* Newton maps of polynomials and post-critically *minimal* rational Newton maps of entire maps.

Proof. We first show the existence of "center" in every Fatou component. Since the Julia set $J(f)$ is connected, every Fatou component is simply connected. Let U be a Fatou component of f. We want to show that U contains the unique "center", a point that maps to the critical cycle or to the critical point in a parabolic immediate basin in finite minimal time. If U is an *immediate basin* of a superattracting cycle (fixed points are also counted as cycles) that contains a critical point (at least one element of the cycle should be a critical point of f) or U is one of the immediate basins of the parabolic fixed point at ∞, then the existence of the unique "center" follows from Definition 2.6. It is the critical point, denote it by ξ_U. Let T be a component of $f^{-1}(U)$ other than U, if U is fully invariant Fatou component then we are done. Let C_T be the union of the set of critical points of f in T and the set $f^{-1}(\xi_U) \cap T$. Then by Definition 2.6 we have $f(C_T) = \xi_U$. We want to show that the set C_T consists of a single element. Since $\pi_1(U \setminus \xi_U) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the map $f : T \setminus C_T \to U \setminus \xi_U$ is a covering, the induced map $f_* : \pi_1(T \setminus C_T) \to \pi_1(U \setminus \xi_U)$ is injective, hence C_T is a single point. Denote it by ξ_T and call it the "center", which is unique with the property that it maps to ξ_U under the function f. Induction finishes the argument for all other iterated pre-images of U, thus proving the existence of a unique "center" in every component of the Fatou set.

Now we continue the proof of the theorem and show the existence of Riemann maps with commutative diagrams. Let U be a parabolic *immediate basin* of ∞ and ψ_U be its Riemann map sending ξ_U to the origin. The Riemann map ψ_U is uniquely defined up to post-composition

by a rotation of the circle, normalize it so that it sends ∞ to 1. Denote

$$F = \psi_U \circ f \circ \psi_U^{-1},$$

which is a degree k proper holomorphic function of the unit disc with a single critical point of multiplicity $k-1$ at the origin. F has an extension to the closed unit disk, denote the extension again by F. Then 1 is a double parabolic fixed point for F. By Lemma 2.7, we obtain $F(z) = \frac{z^k+a}{1+az^k} = P_k(z)$ with $a = \frac{k-1}{k+1}$.

In all other cases (periodic or not), let U be a Fatou component and $V = f(U)$. Let ψ_U and ψ_V be the Riemann maps of U and V sending their "centers" ξ_U and ξ_V to the origin respectively (clearly if U is forward invariant then we let $V = U$ and $\psi_V = \psi_U$). Then the composition map $F = \psi_V \circ f \circ \psi_U^{-1}$ is a proper map of the unit disc with the only fixed point at the origin. If the degree of F is ≥ 2 then the origin is a critical point for F. Then $F(z) = uz^k$ with $|u| = 1$, where k is a natural number, the degree of F. Replace ψ_V and ψ_U by $\mu\psi_V$ and $s\psi_U$ respectively (we denote them again by ψ_V and ψ_U respectively and the composition map by F) then $F(z) = s\mu^{-k}uz^k$, with the choice of $s\mu^{-k_U}u = 1$ we obtain $F(z) = z^{k_U}$, where $k_U - 1$ is the multiplicity of the critical point ξ_U ($k_U = 1$ if the center ξ_U is not a critical point of f). If $U = V$ i.e. U is the superattracting immediate basin then we have $s^{1-k}u = 1$, hence $u = s^{k-1}$ so we have $k-1$ choices for ψ_U. Now consider the case when U is not an immediate basin (U could be a parabolic component as well) i.e. $U \neq V$ then for a fixed choice of ψ_V we have $\mu^{-k_U}u = 1$, hence $u = \mu^{k_U}$ so we have k_U choices for ψ_U. □

Remark. It is worth mentioning that for an attracting component of the Fatou set the existence of the Riemann map satisfying the second commutative diagram of the previous theorem is given in [DH, Proposition 4.2], where the proof was carried out for post-critically finite polynomials. But the same proof is also true for every attracting component of the Fatou set of post-critically minimal Newton maps, since restricted to these components a rational Newton map behaves like post-critically finite polynomial does.

3. Parabolic surgery for Newton maps

Turning hyperbolics (attracting and repelling fixed points) into parabolic fixed points or perturbing parabolic fixed points into hyperbolics is a big issue in complex dynamics. In [GM93, page 16], Goldberg and Milnor formulated the following conjecture: for a polynomial f which has a parabolic cycle, there exists a small perturbation of f such that the immediate basin of the parabolic cycle of f is converted to the

basins of some attracting cycles; and the perturbed polynomial on its Julia set is topologically conjugate to f when restricted to the Julia set. Affirmative answer to the conjecture for geometrically finite rational functions were given by many people, including G. Cui, P. Haïssinsky, T. Kawahira, and Tan Lei, see [CT11, CT, Ha98, Kaw05, Kaw06].

We must remark that the local dynamics near repelling and parabolic fixed points are never conjugate to each other. Any quasiconformal conjugacy to a repelling germ is again a repelling germ. Any topological conjugacy to a parabolic germ of the form

$$z \mapsto z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \cdots, \tag{3.1}$$

where $n \geq 1$ is an integer and $a_{n+1} \neq 0$ a complex number, is a parabolic germ of the same form.

Let us recall definitions of quasiconformal and David homeomorphisms and their properties.

Definition 3.1 (K-quasiconformal homeomorphism)**.** Let U and V be domains in $\mathbb{C}$, and let $K \geq 1$ be given, set $k := \frac{K-1}{K+1}$. The orientation preserving homeomorphism $\phi : U \to V$ is K-quasiconformal if the partial derivatives $\partial\phi$ and $\bar{\partial}\phi$ exist in the sense of distributions and belong to $L^2_{\text{loc}}(U)$ (i.e. are locally square integrable) and they satisfy $|\bar{\partial}\phi| \leq k|\partial\phi|$ in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(U)$.

Quasiconformal homeomorphisms are used in dynamics to do a surgery on maps that are not conformal (thus rigid), leaving the space of rigid maps we allow to be more flexible (less rigid) but still being able to recover the conformal structure using the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem and Weyl's lemma. The following properties of quasiconformal homeomorphisms are of great importance for our purposes:

(1) If ϕ is a K-quasiconformal homeomorphism then the inverse is also K-quasiconformal homeomorphism;
(2) Quasiconformal removability of quasiarcs: If Γ is a quasiarc (the image of a straight line under a quasiconformal homeomorphism) and $\phi : U \to V$ a homeomorphism that is K-quasiconformal on $U \setminus \Gamma$, then ϕ is K-quasiconformal on U, and hence Γ is quasiconformally removable. In particular, points, lines and smooth arcs are quasiconformally removable.
(3) Weyl's Lemma: If ϕ is 1-quasiconformal, then ϕ is conformal. In other words, if ϕ is a quasiconformal homeomorphism and $\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi = 0$ almost everywhere, then ϕ is conformal.

Theorem 3.2. [BF14, Theorem 1.28 (Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem)] *Let S be a simply connected Riemann surface (isomorphic*

to one of $\mathbb{D}$, $\mathbb{C}$ *or* $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$*) and* $\mu = \mu(z)\frac{\partial \bar{z}}{\partial z} : S \to \mathbb{D}$ *be a measurable Beltrami form on* S*. Suppose* $K_\mu(z) := \frac{1+|\mu(z)|}{1-|\mu(z)|}$*, the dilatation of* μ*, is uniformly bounded, i.e.* $K_\mu < \infty$ *or, equivalently, the essential supremum of* μ *on* S *satisfies*

$$||\mu||_\infty < 1.$$

Then μ *is integrable, i.e. there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism* $\phi : S \to \mathbb{D}$ *(respectively onto* $\mathbb{C}$ *or* $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$*) which solves the Beltrami equation, i.e. such that*

$$\mu(z) = \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi(z)}{\partial_z \phi(z)}$$

for a.e. $z \in S$*.*

If S *is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{D}$ *(respectively to* $\mathbb{C}$ *or* $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$*) then* $\phi : S \to \mathbb{D}$ *(respectively onto* $\mathbb{C}$ *or* $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$*) is unique up to post-composition with automorphisms of* $\mathbb{D}$ *(respectively of* $\mathbb{C}$ *or* $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$*).*

There are many extensions of quasiconformal maps that relax the uniform upper bound on dilatation but still able to solve the corresponding Beltrami equations. In the following one of the best extensions is given, which was later used by Haïssinsky for his parabolic surgery tool. Let us first define the David–Beltrami differentials.

Definition 3.3 (David–Beltrami differential)**.** A measurable Beltrami differential

$$\mu = \mu(z)\frac{d\bar{z}}{dz} \text{ on a domain } U \in \mathbb{C}$$

with $||\mu||_\infty = 1$ is called *David–Beltrami differential* if there exist constants $M > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\text{Area}(\{z \in U : |\mu_\phi(z)| > 1 - \epsilon\}) < Me^{-\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon}} \text{ for } \epsilon < \epsilon_0. \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 3.4 (David homeomorphism)**.** An orientation preserving homeomorphism $\phi : U \to V$ for domains U and V in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called David homeomorphism (David map or David) if it belongs to the Sobolev class $W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(U)$, i.e. has locally integrable distributional partial derivatives in U, and its induced David–Beltrami differential

$$\mu_\phi := \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi}{\partial_z \phi}\frac{d\bar{z}}{dz}$$

satisfies the inequality 3.2.

The condition in the definition is referred to *area condition.* The area in the definition is a spherical area, for domains that are bounded we use the Euclidean area instead. If we let $K_\phi(z) := \frac{1+|\mu_\phi(z)|}{1-|\mu_\phi(z)|}$, the real

dilatation of ϕ then, equivalently, we can state the area condition as there exist constants $M > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ and $K_0 > 1$ such that

$$\text{Area}(\{z \in U : K_\phi(z) > K\}) < Me^{-\alpha K} \text{ for } K > K_0.$$

David maps are different from quasiconformal homeomorphisms; for instance, the inverse of David map may not be David and there is no Weyl's type lemma for David maps, see [Zak04] for some stark differences between quasiconformal and David maps.

But, similarly to quasiconformal homeomorphisms, quasiarcs are removable for David maps, so we can glue two David maps in a nice boundary to obtain a global David map. Moreover, David–Beltrami differentials are always integrated by David homeomorphisms.

Theorem 3.5. [BF14, D98, David Integrability Theorem] *Let μ be David–Beltrami differential on a domain $U \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Then there exists David homeomorphism $\phi : U \to V$, whose complex dilatation μ_ϕ coincides with μ almost everywhere.*

The integrating map is unique up to post-composition by a conformal map, i.e. if $\widetilde{\phi} : U \to \tilde{V}$ is another David homeomorphism such that $\mu_{\widetilde{\phi}} = \mu$ almost everywhere, then $\widetilde{\phi} \circ \phi^{-1} : V \to \widetilde{V}$ is conformal.

Let p be a polynomial of degree ≥ 2 and let $K(p)$ and $J(p)$ be its filled Julia set and Julia set respectively. Recall that P_f denotes the post-critical set of f, that is the closure of union of the critical orbits of f. If α is an attracting or parabolic fixed point, we set $\mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ to be its basin of attraction and $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\alpha)$ its immediate basin.

Theorem 3.6 (P. Haïssinsky)**.** [Ha98] *Let p be of $deg(p) \geq 2$ polynomial with a (non-super)attracting fixed point α with basin of attraction $\mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ and a repelling fixed point $\beta \in \partial\mathcal{A}^\circ(\alpha)$, and assume $\beta \notin P_p$. Suppose also that β is accessible from the basin $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\alpha)$. Then there exist a polynomial q of the same degree and a David homeomorphism $\phi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, such that:*

1. *$\phi(K(p)) = K(q)$, $\phi(\beta)$ is a parabolic fixed point of q with the multiplier $q'(\phi(\beta)) = 1$, and $\phi(\mathcal{A}^\circ(\alpha)) = \mathcal{A}^\circ(\phi(\beta))$;*
2. *for all $z \notin \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ we have $\phi \circ p = q \circ \phi$; in particular, $\phi : J(p) \to J(q)$ is a homeomorphism which conjugates p to q on the Julia sets;*
3. *ϕ is conformal in the interior of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$.*

Remark. Note that the construction of above surgery procedure is local (along the given access) and can be performed on rational functions that are not necessarily polynomials. By a slight and sensible change

of the proof, this theorem can be stated replacing the attracting fixed point by several cycles and the repelling point by cycles such that their periods divide those of the attracting points related to them, provided the repelling points that are to become parabolic are not accumulated by the recurrent critical orbits of p. In particular, it is possible to apply surgery when some critical orbit lands at the repelling fixed point that is going to become parabolic, which will be shown in the proof of the following theorem, where we shall go through the whole process of the proof following [BF14, Section 9.3]. In some places of the proof we provide more details and also include the case when the repelling fixed point that is going to become the parabolic is a landing point of a critical orbit.

Theorem C. *Let a post-critically finite Newton map N_p of degree $d \geq 3$ with its marked channel diagram Δ_n^+ be given. Let $\mathcal{A}(\xi_j)$ be the marked basins of superattracting fixed points ξ_j for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then there exist a David homeomorphism ϕ and a post-critically minimal Newton map $N_{\tilde{p}e^{\tilde{q}}}$ of degree d with $\deg \tilde{q} = n$, such that:*

(i) $\phi(\infty) = \infty$ and $\phi(\bigcup_{1\leq j\leq n} \mathcal{A}(\xi_j))$ is the basin of the parabolic fixed point at ∞ of $N_{\tilde{p}e^{\tilde{q}}}$;

(ii) $\phi \circ N_p = N_{\tilde{p}e^{\tilde{q}}} \circ \phi$ for all $z \notin \bigcup_{1\leq j\leq n} \mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi_j)$; in particular, $\phi : J(N_p) \to J(N_{\tilde{p}e^{\tilde{q}}})$ is a homeomorphism which conjugates N_p to $N_{\tilde{p}e^{\tilde{q}}}$;

(iii) ϕ is conformal on the interior of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{1\leq j\leq n} \mathcal{A}(\xi_j)$;

(iv) $N_{\tilde{p}e^{\tilde{q}}}$ is post-critically minimal and the marked accesses of the marked channel diagram Δ_n^+ correspond to the attracting accesses of the parabolic basin of ∞ for $N_{\tilde{p}e^{\tilde{q}}}$.

Remark. Note that in the theorem the conjugacy breaks down only in the marked immediate basins.

We shall use the following classical result on lifting property of covering maps.

Lemma 3.7. *Let Y, Z and W be path-connected and locally path-connected Hausdorff spaces with base points $y \in Y, z \in Z$ and $w \in W$. Suppose $p : W \to Y$ is an unbranched covering and $f : Z \to Y$ is a continuous map such that $f(z) = y = p(w)$.*



There exists a continuous lift $\tilde{f}$ of f to p with $\tilde{f}(z) = w$ for which the above diagram is commutative i.e. $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$ if and only if

$$f_*(\pi_1(Z, z)) \subset p_*(\pi_1(W, w)),$$

where π_1 denotes the fundamental group. This lift is unique if it exists.

We must mention that parabolic surgery is not directly applicable to superattracting domains. In order to overcome this difficulty, we need to change these domains to (non-super) attracting ones.

Lemma 3.8. *Let N_p be a post-critically finite Newton map of degree $d \geq 2$ with a superattracting fixed point ξ. Let its basin $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ with a marked access Δ_1^+ to ∞ in the immediate basin $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi)$ be given. Then there exist a Newton map $N_{\tilde{p}}$ of the same degree d and a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\phi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that*

(a) ϕ is conformal except on $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$;
(b) $\phi \circ N_p = N_{\tilde{p}} \circ \phi$ except on a compact set in $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi)$, in particular, $\phi(F(N_p)) = F(N_{\tilde{p}})$ and $\phi(J(N_p)) = J(N_{\tilde{p}})$;
(c) $\phi(\xi)$ is an attracting fixed point of $N_{\tilde{p}}$ with the multiplier $N'_{\tilde{p}}(\phi(\xi)) = \frac{1}{2}$, and with the immediate basin $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\phi(\xi)) = \phi(\mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi))$, which contains a single critical point $c \in \mathcal{A}^\circ(\phi(\xi))$;
(d) $\phi(\Delta_1^+)$ is a marked access in $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\phi(\xi))$;
(e) Every component of the Fatou set of $N_{\tilde{p}}$ contains at most one critical point; and if U is a component of $\mathcal{A}(\phi(\xi))$ with a critical point c_U, then there exists a minimal m_c such that $N_{\tilde{p}}^{\circ m_c}(c_U)$ is a critical point in $N_{\tilde{p}}^{\circ m_c}(U)$.

Remark. The lemma is still valid if we consider several basins instead of one, we just need to work one by one with all marked basins. We change the multiplier to $\frac{1}{2}$ with the goal to obtain a Newton map of some polynomial $\tilde{p}$. But this choice is purely artificial; actually, it is possible to change the multiplier to any non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^*$. The lemma assures that not only is the multiplier changed at a superattracting fixed point, but we can also keep the marked access "unchanged".

Proof of Lemma 3.8. The proof we supply is similar to that of the straightening of polynomials-like mappings (see [DH85, Chapter 1, Theorem 1]). Let N_p be a post-critically finite Newton map of degree $d \geq 2$. Let its superattracting fixed point ξ with its basin $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ be given. Fix a single marked access Δ_1^+ in the immediate basin $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi)$. By Theorem B the point ξ is the only critical point in $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi)$. Its local dynamics is conjugate to $z \mapsto z^{d_\xi}$, where $d_\xi > 1$ is the multiplicity of ξ as a critical point of N_p. Let $\psi : \mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi) \to \mathbb{D}$ be the Riemann map

(Böttcher coordinate) such that $\psi(\xi) = 0$. It is unique up to rotation; normalize it so that the fixed ray corresponding to the marked access Δ_1^+ maps to $[0, 1]$, which we call a "zero ray". We want to change the multiplier at the fixed point to $\frac{1}{2}$ so that we obtain a Newton map of some other polynomial $\tilde{p}$. Then the polynomial $\tilde{p}$ should have a single double root and thus its degree is $d + 1$.

For a given $0 \leq b < \frac{k-1}{k+1}$, the Blaschke product $B_b(z) = \frac{z^k+b}{1+bz^k}$, considered as a proper self map of $\mathbb{D}$, has a unique attracting fixed point, denote it by $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, which attracts every point in $\mathbb{D}$. Note that $b < \alpha$, otherwise if $b \geq \alpha$ then $\alpha^k + b \geq \alpha^k + \alpha$ this yields $\alpha(1 + b\alpha^k) \geq \alpha^k + \alpha$ since α is a fixed point. After cancellations, we obtain $b\alpha \geq 1$, a contradiction. The multiplier at α depends continuously on b. When $b = 0$ the Blaschke product is $z \mapsto z^k$; denote it by $B_0(z) = z^k$, then $B_0'(0) = 0$. If $b \nearrow \frac{k-1}{k+1}$ then the multiplier at α converges to 1; in particular, α also converges to 1. (It can be shown that the multiplier map is an increasing function of b in the interval $[0, \frac{k-1}{k+1}]$, but this fact is not relevant for us.) We choose $b = b_0$ such that the multiplier at the fixed point $\alpha = \alpha_0$ is $\frac{1}{2}$, i.e $B_{b_0}'(\alpha_0) = \frac{1}{2}$. To ease notations, let us drop the index b_0 in B_{b_0} and simply call it B.

Let us fixed $\alpha_0 < r < 1$. Set $\mathbb{D}_r = \{|z| < r\}$ and $\mathbb{S}_r^1 = \{|z| = r\}$. Then $B_0^{-1}(\mathbb{D}_r) = \mathbb{D}_{r^{1/k}}$ and $B_0^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_r^1) = \mathbb{S}_{r^{1/k}}^1$. Denote by $A_0 = \overline{\mathbb{D}_r} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r^k$ and $A_1 = \overline{\mathbb{D}_r} \setminus B(\mathbb{D}_r)$ closed annuli. Note that $B(D_r)$ is a disk and $M_b(\mathbb{D}_r^k) = B(\mathbb{D}_r)$, for the Möbius map $M_b = \frac{z+b}{1+bz}$ with $b = b_0$. Moreover, M_b is biholomorphism (the Riemann map) from $\mathbb{D}_r^k$ to $B(\mathbb{D}_r)$. Consider $M_b : S_{r^k}^1 \to M_b(S_{r^k}^1)$, and lift it to the map $\psi : S_r^1 \to S_r^1$ such that $M_b \circ z^k = B \circ \psi$ and fixing r. Then $\psi = \mathrm{id}$, the identity. Using [BF14, Proposition 2.30], interpolate $\mathrm{id} : \mathbb{S}_r^1 \to \mathbb{S}_r^1$ and $M_b : S_{r^k}^1 \to M_b(S_{r^k}^1)$ to obtain h, a quasiconformal map between A_0 and A_1. Define a quasiregular function (a composition of holomorphic and quasiconformal map) $g : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ as the following

$$g = \begin{cases} z^k & \text{on } \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r \\ M_b^{-1} \circ B \circ h & \text{on } A_0 \\ M_b^{-1} B \circ M_b & \text{on } \overline{\mathbb{D}_{r^k}}. \end{cases}$$

If we simplify the above formula for g, we obtain

$$g = \begin{cases} z^k & \text{on } \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r \\ (h)^k & \text{on } A_0 \\ (M_b)^k & \text{on } \overline{\mathbb{D}_{r^k}}. \end{cases}$$

Fig. 2 shows how we cut and past new dynamics in the unit disk.



FIGURE 2. Surgery on the unit disk. Two outer disks are the unit disks, the two annuli in yellow are A_0 and A_1 and the inner white disks are D_{r^k} and $B(D_r)$ respectively.

Note that $g(M_{b_0}^{-1}(\alpha_0)) = M_{b_0}^{-1}(\alpha_0)$ and $-b_0$ is a critical point of maximal multiplicity $k-1$. Also note that the interval $\left[r^{1/k}, 1\right]$ is invariant. Since $z = 1$ is a repelling fixed point for B_0, the interval $\left[r^{1/k}, 1\right]$ is contained in the marked access coming from B_0 and is invariant for g by the construction. We shall construct μ, a g-invariant Beltrami form. Let μ_0 be the conformal structure, define μ on $\mathbb{D}_{r^k}$ as $\mu = \mu_0$. In the annulus A_0 define it as a pullback by $(h)^k$, i.e. $\mu = ((h)^k)^*\mu_0$. Finally, we extend μ to the rest of $\mathbb{D}$ by the dynamics of g, observing that for every $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r$, there is a unique $n \geq 0$ such that $g^n(z)$ belongs to the half open annulus $A_0 \setminus \mathbb{S}^1_{r^k}$; moreover, $g^n = B_0^n$ at the point z. Hence μ is defined recursively as

$$\mu = \begin{cases} \mu_0 & \text{on } \mathbb{D}_r \\ ((h)^k)^*\mu_0 & \text{on } A_0 \\ (B_0^n)^*\mu & \text{on } B_0^n(A_0). \end{cases}$$

By our construction, μ is g-invariant and its maximum dilatation satisfies $||\mu|| = ||((h)^k)^*\mu_0|| < 1$.

Recall that $\psi : \mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi) \to \mathbb{D}$ is the Riemann map. Let us transport g to the immediate basin $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi)$ of N_p and define a topological function

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} \psi^{-1} \circ g \circ \psi(z) & z \in \mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi) \\ N_p & z \notin \mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi). \end{cases}$$

Note that $F(z) = N_p(z)$ for $z \in \psi^{-1}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r)$. We will now define an F-invariant Beltrami form μ_F in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. In the immediate basin $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi)$ define μ_F as the pull back of μ by the Riemann map $\psi : \mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi) \to \mathbb{D}$; for other

components of the basin $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$ we spread it by the dynamics of F. We put the standard complex structure on the complement of $\mathcal{A}(\xi)$, thus obtaining an F-invariant Beltrami form μ_F. We apply the measurable Riemann mapping theorem (Theorem 3.2) to μ_F deducing a quasiconformal homeomorphism $\phi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, unique up to an automorphism of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. The conjugation $\phi \circ F \circ \phi^{-1}$ is a rational function on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Let us normalize ϕ as to fix ∞. Then $\phi \circ F \circ \phi^{-1}$ is a Newton map. Noticing that ∞ is a repelling fixed point, the result is a Newton map of some polynomial, denote the polynomial by $\tilde{p}$. Thus $N_{\tilde{p}} = \phi \circ F \circ \phi^{-1}$ is the resulting function (after further normalization of ϕ, we can make sure that $\tilde{p}$ is centered, but it is not relevant for us). We have that N_p and $N_{\tilde{p}}$ are conjugate in some neighborhoods of their Julia sets. The conjugating map transforms accesses of N_p in $\mathcal{A}^\circ(\xi)$ to that of $N_{\tilde{p}}$, so we obtain a marked access of $N_{\tilde{p}}$ corresponding to the marking Δ_1^+. We still use the same notation Δ_1^+ for the resulting access and channel diagram, even though the channel diagram is defined only for post-critically finite Newton maps. We have marked accesses but the new marked channel diagram is not invariant under the new function, but it is invariant near ∞ this is what all we need for our application of parabolic surgery. By the construction, all of the conditions of the lemma are satisfied. □

Remark 3.9. In the proof of the previous lemma, if we straightened the g invariant conformal structure in $\mathbb{D}$, let it be ϕ normalized so that it fixes 0. Denote $E = \phi \circ g \circ \phi^{-1}$, then E is a Blaschke product with an attracting fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$, denote it by α, and the origin is its critical point of maximal multiplicity. Compose ϕ with a rotation about the origin so that the critical value of E is real and positive. Since ϕ is conformal near α, then $E'(\alpha) = 1/2$. It is easy to see that $E(z) = \frac{uz^k+b}{1+buz^k}$, where $b > 0$ is the critical value and a constant $|u| = 1$. Then $1/\bar{\alpha}$ is also a fixed point of E with the multiplier $\overline{E'(\alpha)}$. We obtain a system of equations with only solutions α and $1/\bar{\alpha}$:

$$\frac{uz^k + b}{1 + buz^k} = z \tag{3.3}$$

$$\frac{kuz^{k-1}(1 - b^2)}{(1 + buz^k)^2} = \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.4}$$

Solving Equation 3.3 for u and plugging this to Equation 3.4, we obtain the following equation

$$k(z - b)(1 - zb) = \frac{1}{2}z(1 - b^2).$$

By assumption, the last equation should have solutions α and $1/\bar{\alpha}$. This implies that $\alpha > 0$, in particular it is real. Then u is also real and positive, which results to $u = 1$. Thus $E(z) = \frac{z^k+b}{1+bz^k}$. Notice that 1 should be a repelling fixed point with a real multiplier, which implies that $0 < b < \frac{k-1}{k+1}$.

Proof of Theorem C. Applying Lemma 3.8 to N_p with its marked basins, we obtain $N_{\tilde{p}}$ such that its every marked immediate basin is now (non-super) attracting with a single critical point in it. The compositions $\phi \circ \psi$ and $\psi \circ \phi$ of a quasiconformal homeomorphism ψ with David homeomorphism ϕ are again David homeomorphisms, since a quasiconformal homeomorphism distorts area in a bounded fashion by Astala's theorem [A94]. It is enough to work with $N_{\tilde{p}}$, but we still denote it by $N_p = N_{\tilde{p}}$.

It is easy to carry out the surgery for the Blaschke products in the unit disk and then transfer it to the marked immediate basins via Riemann maps. As it was defined in the proof of Lemma 3.8 we let $b = b_0$ and

$$B_{\mathrm{par}}(z) = \frac{z^k + a}{1 + az^k},$$
$$B(z) = \frac{z^k + b}{1 + bz^k}$$

with $0 < b < a = \frac{k-1}{k+1}$ be the parabolic and the attracting Blaschke products of degree $k \geq 2$ with the unique critical point of maximal multiplicity $k-1$ located at $z = 0$ and the Julia set the unit circle. The map B has an attracting fixed point $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ with $B'(\alpha_0) = \frac{1}{2}$, which attracts every point in $\mathbb{D}$, while $z = 1$ is a repelling fixed point with the real multiplier $\lambda = \frac{1-b}{1+b}k$. On the other hand, B_{par} has the parabolic fixed point at $z = 1$, which also attracts every point in $\mathbb{D}$. In both cases the interval $[0, 1]$ is invariant, which corresponds to attracting invariant rays, and the critical orbit marches monotonically along this segment towards $z = \alpha$ in the case of B, and towards $z = 1$ in the case of B_{par}.

Now we consider the local dynamics around the repelling fixed point. Let $f(z) = \lambda z$ with $\lambda > 1$ be the repelling model map. We define the sector

$$S := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \theta \leq \arg z \leq 2\pi - \theta \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1/\lambda^m\},$$

for $m > m_0$, where m_0 is large and $0 < \theta < \pi$, see Fig. 3. We write Q_m^f for the quadrilaterals bounded by the segments $[(1/\lambda^{m+1})e^{\pm i\theta}, (1/\lambda^m)e^{\pm i\theta}]$ and arcs of radii $1/\lambda^{m+1}$ and $1/\lambda^m$ contained in S, see Fig. 3. Note that $Q_m^f = f^{-m}(Q_0^f)$. It is easy to observe that the map $z \mapsto \omega(z) = \frac{Log\lambda}{Logz}$

conjugates f on $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda^{-m}} \setminus S$ to the following parabolic model map

$$g : \omega \mapsto \frac{\omega}{\omega + 1}$$

on the cusp $C = g(\mathbb{D}_{\lambda^{-m}} \setminus S)$ with vertex at the origin, i.e. $\omega \circ f = g \circ \omega$ on $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda^{-m}} \setminus S$, see Fig. 3.



FIGURE 3. Pictorial illustration of the function χ. The total of the shaded region on the left picture corresponds to $S \cap \mathbf{D}_{\lambda^{-m}}$ and $r_m = |\omega(\lambda^{-m} e^{\pm i\theta})| \asymp \frac{1}{m}$. The initial map $z \mapsto \omega(z)$ sends the white region (the complement of S) from the left to the white in the right.

We write

$$a(t) \asymp b(t)$$

for two positive valued functions $a(t)$ and $b(t)$, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1} b(t) \le a(t) \le C b(t)$.

Lemma 3.10. *There exists a David extension χ of $z \mapsto \omega(z)$ to a neighborhood of the origin with $K_\chi \asymp m$ on Q_m^f.*

We would like to mention that the inverse of the map χ constructed in the previous lemma is not David.

Using the previous lemma we obtain the following result for Blaschke products.

Lemma 3.11. *There exist a piecewise C^1 homeomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ and a sector $S_B \subset \mathbb{D}$ with vertex at 1, which is a neighborhood of α as in Fig. 4, such that:*

(i) for all $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus S_b$, $\phi \circ B(z) = B_{par} \circ \phi$;

(ii) there is a set S'_B which is the intersection of S_B with a neighborhood of 1, such that $\phi : \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_k B^{-k}(S'_B) \to \phi(\mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_k B^{-k}(S'_B))$ is quasiconformal homeomorphism;



FIGURE 4. An illustration of the construction of ϕ for the Lemma 3.11, for the degree $n = 2$. Left: Quadratic Blaschke product with attracting basin, Right: Quadratic Blaschke product with parabolic basin (Figure courtesy T. Kawahira)

(iii) on the quadrilaterals Q_m^B in S'_B defined as Q_m^f for Lemma 3.10, we have $K_\phi \asymp m$, for all $m \geq m_0$.

Refer for the proofs of the last two lemmas to [BF14, Lemma 9.20 and Lemma 9.21].

Topological surgery. In order to ease the notations let us do the construction for one basin only and denote by ξ an attracting fixed point and $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ its immediate basin with a single critical point c_ξ of maximal multiplicity $k-1 = \deg(N_p, c_\xi) - 1$. Consider the Riemann map

$$R = R_{\mathcal{A}^\circ} : \mathcal{A}^\circ \to \mathbb{D}$$

such that $R(c_\xi) = 0$; now we can still post-compose it with a rotation. Let

$$E = R \circ N_p \circ R^{-1} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}.$$

By construction E has a critical point of maximal multiplicity at the origin and has an attracting fixed point in $\mathbb{D}$ with the multiplier $\frac{1}{2}$. By Remark 3.9 we obtain that $E(z) = \frac{z^k+b}{1+bz^k}$ for some $0 < b < \frac{k-1}{k+1}$. Let $B(z) = \frac{z^k+b}{1+bz^k}$, then $R(\xi)$ is a fixed point of B.

Define the map $\phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ given by Lemma 3.11, which is a partial conjugacy between B and B_{par}. Let $\hat{B} = \phi^{-1} \circ B_{\text{par}} \circ \phi$ be the conjugation. Hence, $\hat{B} = B$ except on the sector S_B. We transfer this data

to the immediate basin; define on $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ the map $F = R^{-1} \circ \hat{B} \circ R$ which coincides with N_p except on the sector $R^{-1}(S_B)$.

Finally, set

$$G(z) = \begin{cases} F(z) & \text{for } z \in \mathcal{A}^\circ \\ N_p & \text{for } z \notin \mathcal{A}^\circ \end{cases}$$

This map G is our topological model: a ramified covering of degree d, piecewise C^1. We have to make sure that G satisfies "post-critical minimality" condition; we know that N_p does by construction. Let $c_U \in U$ be a critical point in a component of the basin $\mathcal{A}$ and let $N_p^{m_U}(U) = \mathcal{A}^\circ$ for minimal $m_U > 0$. Recall that by construction $N_p^{m_U}(c_U) = c_\xi$ with c_U a unique critical point of N_p in $\mathcal{A}^\circ$. By the definition of the Riemann map $R = R_{\mathcal{A}^\circ} : \mathcal{A}^\circ \to \mathbb{D}$ with $R(\xi) = \alpha$ and $R(c_\xi) = 0$, we have

$$F = R^{-1} \circ \hat{B} \circ R = R^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1} \circ B_{\text{par}} \circ \phi \circ R.$$

It is easy to observe that $B^{-1}(b) = B_{\text{par}}^{-1}(a) = \{0\}$. From $\phi(B(0)) = \phi(b) = a = B_{\text{par}}(0)$, it follows that $\phi(0) = 0$. We have $F(c_\xi) = R^{-1}(b)$ and $F^{-1}(R^{-1}(b)) = \{c_\xi\}$; hence, c_ξ is a critical point of F. This is a crucial property of our model map: after the straightening theorem, we obtain an actual post-critically minimal Newton map.

Straightening of almost complex structure. We will define a G-invariant almost complex structure μ in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\hat{\mu} = \partial_{\bar{z}}\phi / \partial_z \phi$, which is a David-Beltrami form. It is defined in $\mathbb{D}$ and invariant under $\hat{B}$. We transport it to the immediate basin $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ by defining the pull back $\mu = R^* \hat{\mu}$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (D, \mu_0) & \xrightarrow{B_{par}} & (D, \mu_0) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \phi} \\ (D, \hat{\mu}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{B}} & (D, \hat{\mu}) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle R} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle R} \\ (\mathcal{A}^\circ, \mu) & \xrightarrow{F} & (\mathcal{A}^\circ, \mu). \end{array}$$

We extend it recursively by dynamics of F (which is equal to N_p outside of the sector $R^{-1}(S_B)$) to the rest of the dynamical plane as:

$$\mu = \begin{cases} (N_p^n)^* \mu & \text{on } N_p^{-n}(\mathcal{A}^\circ) \\ \mu_0 & \text{on } C \setminus \bigcup_n N_p^{-n}(\mathcal{A}^\circ). \end{cases}$$

By definition the map G leaves μ invariant.

Compare [BF14, Lemma 9.23] to the following lemma, where we include the case when $\infty \in P_{N_p}$, the post-critical set of N_p.

Lemma 3.12. *G invariant μ, defined as above, satisfies the hypothesis of David Integrability Theorem (Theorem 3.5).*

Remark. The proof we give now is slightly different than the one given in [BF14, Lemma 9.23]. Our proof is applicable even if there is a critical orbit landing at the point at ∞, which may happen for some PCM Newton maps.

Proof of Lemma 3.12. Let V be a simply connected linearizable neighborhood of ∞. Let U be a connected component of $N_p^{-1}(V)$ compactly contained in V. Let $\sum_\infty = R^{-1}(S'_B)$ in U. Set $\rho = 1/N'_p(\infty) = \frac{d}{d-1} > 1$ and let K_μ be the dilatation ratio of μ; by Koebe's Distortion Theorem and Lemma 3.11,

$$\operatorname{Area}\{z \in \Sigma_\infty \mid K_\mu > n\} \asymp \left(1/\rho^{2n}\right) \operatorname{Area}\{\Sigma_\infty\}.$$

If $N_p^k(y) = \infty$ for some $y \in \mathbb{C}$, then let $\sum_y$ be the connected component of $N_p^{-k}(\sum_\infty)$ with vertex y. Here we need to be cautious: if y is a critical point then we end up having more than one component with a shared vertex at y. Note that the local degree $\deg(N_p, y) < d$ is finite. We need to consider all the different components separately; in total, we shall have $\deg(N_p, y)$ number of components. The map $N_p^k : (N_p^{-1}(V), y) \to (V, \infty)$ is a covering, ramified at y if y is a critical point. Since $N_p : U \to V$ fixes ∞ we lift it by N_p^k as a repelling germ of the same multiplier in a *punctured* neighborhood of y as the following: after fixing some base point $w_0 \in U \setminus \{\infty\}$, its its image $N_p(w_o)$ is a base point in $V \setminus \{\infty\}$, let $y_0 \in N_p^{-k}(w_0)$ be a base point in $N_p^{-k}(U) \setminus \{y\}$ and finally, let $z_0 \in N_p^{-k}(N_p(w_0))$ be a base point in $N_p^{-k}(V) \setminus \{y\}$. Now we can apply Lemma 3.7 to $N_p^k \circ N_p$ obtaining a lift F with the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (N_p^{-k}(U) \setminus \{y\}, y_0) & \xrightarrow{F} & (N_p^{-k}(V) \setminus \{y\}, z_0) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle N_p^k} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle N_p^k} \\ (U \setminus \{\infty\}, w_0) & \xrightarrow{N_p} & (V \setminus \{\infty\}, N_p(w_0)). \end{array}$$

Note that F is a one-to-one conformal map from $N_p^{-k}(U) \setminus \{y\}$ to $N_p^{-k}(V) \setminus \{y\}$ given by $F = N_p^{-k} \circ N_p^{k+1}$ with $F(y_0) = z_0$. We extend it using Riemann removability theorem to y as a repelling germ. Easy calculation shows that the derivative is $F'(y) = N'_p(\infty) = \rho$. By

bounded distortion theorem, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\text{Area}\,\{z \in \Sigma_y \mid K_\mu > n\} \leq \left(C/\rho^{2n}\right) \text{Area}\ \Sigma_y,$$

for all pre-images of y of ∞. We deduce that for n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Area}\left\{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \mid K_\mu > n\right\} &= \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{N_p^k(y)=\infty} \text{Area}\,\{z \in \Sigma_y,\ K_\mu > n\} \\ &\leq \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{N_p^k(y)=\infty} (C/\rho^{2n})\,\text{Area}\ \Sigma_y.\end{aligned}$$

In the above summands with $N_p^k(y) = \infty$, if y is a critical point then we include all $\deg(N_p, y)$ number of different Σ_y. Hence,

$$\text{Area}\left\{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \mid K_\mu > n\right\} \leq \left(C/\rho^{2n}\right) \text{Area}\ X = C' e^{-2n \ln \rho},$$

where $X = \bigcup_{k\geq 0, N_p^k(y)=\infty} \Sigma_y$. Since the area of X depends on which metric we are using, if we want to use the Euclidean metric then we have to make sure that ∞ belongs to the interior of a complement of X in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. This can be done by conjugating N_p by a Möbius map in the beginning of the construction. For instance, we can conjugate and put ∞ to some immediate basin; otherwise, we can use a spherical metric in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, then an area of X is finite. This proves the estimate on K_μ and finishes the proof of the lemma. □

David Integrability Theorem (Theorem 3.5) asserts the existence of a map ϕ which integrates μ. However, to obtain a holomorphic function there is still some work to be done.

Lemma 3.13 (Straightening, Lemma 9.25 [BF14])**.** *If there is David homeomorphism $\phi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the equation $\partial_{\bar{z}}\psi = \mu_\phi \partial_z \psi$ has a local solution, unique up to post-composition by a conformal map, and such that $G^*\mu_\phi = \mu_\phi$ a.e., then $Q = \phi \circ G \circ \phi^{-1}$ is a rational function.*

Proof of Lemma 3.13. Indeed, on every disk where G is injective, $\phi \circ G$ has the same Beltrami coefficient as ϕ; hence, there exists a conformal map, say Q, such that $\phi \circ G = Q \circ \phi$, which follows from uniqueness of the solution. There is a discrete set of points, which is the set of critical points of G, where G is not locally injective; hence by Riemann removability theorem, Q is a holomorphic rational function. □

Here we complete the proof of Theorem C. By construction ∞ is a parabolic fixed point of Q with multiplicity $+1$ and all unmarked fixed points in $\mathbb{C}$ are superattracting, since ϕ is conformal away from marked basins; hence, Q is a Newton map. Conjugation preserves dynamics, and in fact, by construction G satisfies "post-critical minimality" condition, hence Q is a post-critically *minimal* Newton map. Let ϕ_0 be the

quasiconformal homeomorphism coming from Lemma 3.8, and let ϕ_1 be David homeomorphism and $G = N_{\tilde{p}e^{\tilde{q}}}$ coming from Lemma 3.13. Both ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 are conformal except on the corresponding marked basins. Set $\phi = \phi_1 \circ \phi_0$. Finally, we have $\phi \circ N_p = N_{\tilde{p}e^{\tilde{q}}} \circ \phi$ in the domain away from marked immediate basins of N_p, thus, by the construction, all conditions of Theorem C are automatically fulfilled for ϕ and $N_{\tilde{p}e^{\tilde{q}}}$. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Dierk Schleicher and the dynamics group in Bremen, especially Russell Lodge and Sabyasachi Mukherjee, for their comments that helped to improve this paper.

References

[Ahl79] L. Ahlfors, *Complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill Education; 3 ed., (1979).

[A94] K. Astala, *Area distortion of quasiconformal mappings*, Acta Math. vol. 173, (1994), pp 37–60.

[BF14] B. Branner, N. Fagella, *Quasiconformal surgery in holomorphic dynamics*, Cambridge University Press, (2014).

[BFJK] K. Barański, N. Fagella, X. Jarque, and B. Karpińska, *On the connectivity of the Julia sets of meromorphic functions*, Inventiones Mathematicae, vol. 198, (2014), pp 591–636.

[Cil04] F. Cilingir, *On infinite area for complex exponential function*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, no. 5, vol. 22, (2004), pp 1189–1198.

[CJ11] F. Cilingir, X. Jarque, *On Newton's method applied to real polynomials*, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, 6, vol. 18, (2011), pp 1067–1076.

[CT11] G. Cui, L. Tan, *A characterization of hyperbolic rational maps*, Inventiones Mathematicae, no. 3, vol. 183, (2011), pp 451–516.

[CT] G. Cui, L. Tan, *Hyperbolic-parabolic deformations of rational maps*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.01385.

[D98] G. David, *Solutions de lequation de Beltrami avec* $||\mu||_\infty = 1$, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. vol. 13, (1988), pp 25–70.

[DH85] A. Douady, J. H. Hubbard, *On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings*, Ann. Scient., Ec. Norm. Sup. 4e series, vol. 18, (1985), pp 287–343.

[DH] A. Douady, J. H. Hubbard, *Exploring the Mandelbrot set. The Orsay Notes.*

[GM93] L. R. Goldberg, J. Milnor, *Fixed points of polynomial maps: Part II. Fixed point portraits.*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4e serie, vol. 26, (1993), pp 51-98.

[Ha98] P. Haïssinsky, *Chirurgie parabolique.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Serie I, vol. 327, (1998), pp 195–198.

[HSS01] J. Hubbard, D. Schleicher, and S. Sutherland, *How to find all roots of complex polynomials by Newton's method.* Invent. Math. vol. 146, (2001), pp 1–33.

[Har99] M. Haruta, *Newtons method on the complex exponential function*, Trans. AMS. no. 6, vol. 351, (1999), pp 2499–2513.

[Kaw05] T. Kawahira, *Note on dynamically stable perturbations of parabolics*, Analytical Mathematical Institute, Kyoto University, vol. 1447, (2005), pp. 90–107.

[Kaw06] T. Kawahira, *Semiconjugacies between the Julia sets of geometrically finite rational maps II*, in Dynamics on the Riemann Sphere, The Bodil Branner Festschrift, Eur. Math. Soc., (2006), pp 131–138.

[LMS1] R. Lodge, Y. Mikulich, and D. Schleicher, *Combinatorial properties of Newton maps.* http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.02761.

[LMS2] R. Lodge, Y. Mikulich, and D. Schleicher, *A classification of postcritically finite Newton maps.* http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.02771.

[Ma15] K. Mamayusupov, *On Postcritically Minimal Newton maps*, PhD thesis, (2015).

[Ma] K. Mamayusupov, *A classification of post-critically minimal Newton maps of entire functions*, manuscript.

[MS06] S. Mayer, D. Schleicher *Immediate and virtual basins of Newton's method for entire functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, no. 2, vol. 56, (2006), pp 325–336.

[McM86] C. McMullen, *Automorphisms of rational maps*, In Holomorphic Functions and Moduli. MSRI Publications, (1986).

[Mil06] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable*, 3rd edition. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 160. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (2006).

[Mil12] J. Milnor, *Hyperbolic components.* In Conformal Dynamics and Hyperbolic Geometry, Conference in honor of Linda Keen, Contemporary Mathematics 273 : F. Bonahon, R. L. Devaney, F. P. Gardiner and D. Šarić, editors, pp 183–232.

[Prz89] F. Przytycki, *Remarks on the simple connectedness of basins of sinks for iterations of rational maps*, Dynamical Systems and Ergodic Theory, K. Krzyźewski (ed.), Banach Center Publications, Warsaw, Polish Sci. Publ., vol. 23, (1989), pp 229–235.

[RS07] J. Rückert, D. Schleicher, *On Newton's method for entire functions.* Journal of the London Mathematical Society, no. 3, vol. 75, (2007), pp 659–676.

[Shi09] M. Shishikura, *The connectivity of the Julia set of rational maps and fixed points*, D. Schleicher, editor, Complex Dynamics, Families and Friends, A. K. Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, (2009), pp 257–276.

[Tuk91] P. Tukia, *Compactness properties of μ-homeomorphisms*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. vol. 16, (1991), pp 47–69.

[Zak04] S. Zakeri, *David maps and Hausdorff dimension*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. no. 1, vol. 29, (2004), pp 121–138.

Jacobs University Bremen, Campus Ring 1, 28759, Bremen, Germany

Institute of Mathematics Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail address: k.mamayusupov@jacobs-university.de